

SAMPLE FULL REPORT

Website Accessibility Assessment

A practical, evidence-based view of barriers, affected pages, and remediation priorities.

SAMPLE ORGANIZATION	ASSESSMENT DATE
Northstar Studio Inc.	July 19, 2026
www.northstarstudio.ca	12 public pages reviewed

Important

This fictional sample demonstrates the structure and depth of a CompliAccess report. Automated testing identifies a subset of accessibility barriers and does not constitute legal advice, certification, or a guarantee of compliance.

Prepared by CompliAccess with remediation services delivered alongside Mashkraft, its sister web-development company.

Accessibility at a glance

The assessment combines automated WCAG checks with structured evidence so teams can prioritize work and verify improvements over time.

72/100

ACCESSIBILITY SCORE

27

UNIQUE FINDINGS

12

PAGES SCANNED

8

CRITICAL ISSUES

Risk summary

Priority	Findings	What it means
Critical	8	Barriers likely to prevent people from completing important tasks.
Serious	12	Substantial usability barriers affecting navigation, forms, or content understanding.
Moderate	7	Issues that should be corrected as part of an organized accessibility program.

Overall assessment

The sample site needs remediation before it can be considered strongly aligned with WCAG Level AA expectations. The highest priorities are keyboard access, accessible names for controls, form labels, text alternatives, and colour contrast.

Recommended next step

Resolve critical barriers first, verify each correction with a fresh scan and keyboard review, then address serious and moderate findings. Retain before-and-after evidence for internal accountability and future reporting.

How findings map to requirements

CompliAccess organizes evidence by WCAG success criteria and provides views relevant to Ontario AODA and the Accessible Canada Act (ACA).

Standard view	Scope used in this sample	Status
AODA - Ontario	WCAG 2.0 Level A and AA website criteria	Needs remediation
ACA - Federal	WCAG 2.1 Level A and AA plus applicable EN 301 549 considerations	Needs review
WCAG 2.2	Level A and AA criteria included for current best-practice visibility	Needs remediation

Finding categories

Images and graphics	7 findings	Missing or unhelpful alternative text prevents non-visual users from understanding key content.
Keyboard and focus	6 findings	Interactive controls cannot always be reached, operated, or located visually by keyboard users.
Forms	5 findings	Labels, instructions, error identification, and status messaging need improvement.
Structure and landmarks	5 findings	Headings, regions, page language, and bypass mechanisms are incomplete or inconsistent.
Colour and content	4 findings	Contrast and link-purpose issues may make information difficult to perceive or understand.

Manual review is still required

Automated tools cannot fully judge meaning, logical focus order, the quality of alternative text, plain language, captions, or the complete experience of assistive-technology users.

Evidence your team can act on

Each unique issue includes severity, the governing criterion, affected page URLs, evidence, and a recommended remedy.

Finding	Evidence and affected pages	Recommended remedy
Button has no accessible name Critical - WCAG 4.1.2	4 occurrences /contact /pricing <button class='menu-toggle'>	Provide a programmatic name with visible text or an accurate aria-label. Verify it is announced correctly.
Form input has no label Critical - WCAG 1.3.1	3 occurrences /contact /newsletter <input type='email' placeholder='Email'>	Associate a persistent label using for/id or wrap the control in a label. Do not rely on placeholder text alone.
Image is missing alternative text Serious - WCAG 1.1.1	7 occurrences /services /about 	Add concise alt text that communicates the image purpose. Use empty alt text only when the image is decorative.
Focus indicator is not visible Serious - WCAG 2.4.7	5 occurrences /pricing /contact a:focus { outline: none; }	Restore a clearly visible focus indicator with sufficient contrast and ensure it is not obscured.
Text contrast is insufficient Moderate - WCAG 1.4.3	8 occurrences /blog /about #A2A99F on #FFFFFF - 2.5:1	Adjust foreground or background colours to meet the applicable minimum contrast ratio.

Passed checks

This sample also records passed automated checks, including page language, document title, viewport configuration, unique IDs, and the presence of a main landmark. Passed checks do not prove complete compliance.

A practical path from findings to verified fixes

Priorities are sequenced by user impact, reach across the site, and the effort required to validate the correction.

Phase	Timing	Work	Evidence of completion
1 - Stabilize	Days 1-5	Keyboard access, names, labels, skip navigation, critical checkout or contact flows.	Keyboard walkthrough, targeted scan, issue-level before-and-after evidence.
2 - Improve	Weeks 2-3	Alternative text, contrast, heading structure, landmarks, link purpose, error messages.	Full rescan, component review, representative assistive-technology checks.
3 - Maintain	Ongoing	Scheduled scans, content-author guidance, regression monitoring, issue ownership.	Trend history, newly detected barriers, verified-fix register, periodic manual review.

Example remediation ticket

CA-184	VERIFIED
Skip navigation was missing	WCAG 2.4.1
Resolution	Verification
Added a keyboard-visible skip link as the first focusable element and moved focus to the main content region on activation.	Confirmed on 12 pages with keyboard testing and a follow-up automated scan. No recurrence detected.

Professional remediation support

CompliAccess and Mashkraft can fix identified website barriers and improve alignment with WCAG and AODA requirements. Scope and pricing depend on the number and complexity of affected components. No service should promise guaranteed legal compliance.

Make accessibility part of website operations

A website changes after every content update, campaign launch, integration change, and component release. Monitoring helps teams spot regressions early.

1	Assign owners	Give each priority finding a responsible owner, target date, and verification method.
2	Fix shared components first	Correct navigation, templates, forms, and design-system components before isolated page content.
3	Verify, do not assume	Run targeted automated checks and repeat keyboard or assistive-technology review after changes.
4	Monitor meaningful change	Schedule scans and review new critical or serious barriers when the site changes.

Ready to review your website?

Run a free scan at compliance.ca. If automated access is blocked or you need a deeper review, request a custom scan at hello@compliance.ca or visit mashkraft.com.

About this sample

All organization names, URLs, counts, findings, snippets, and outcomes in this document are fictional. This sample illustrates a typical report format and should not be used as evidence about any real website.

Legal and testing limitation

Automated testing can identify only a subset of accessibility barriers. Conformance decisions require human judgment, representative manual testing, and consideration of applicable legal obligations. CompliAccess reports support accessibility work but do not provide legal advice or certification.

CompliAccess

compliance.ca | hello@compliance.ca | support@compliance.ca

Created by Mashkraft - mashkraft.com